UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,783	12/20/2004	Naoki Yoshida	SONY JP 3.3-309	3164
	7590 02/25/201 /ID, LITTENBERG,	10	EXAMINER	
KRUMHOLZ &	RUMHOLZ & MENTLIK		RABOVIANSKI, JIVKA A	
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2426	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/518,783	YOSHIDA, NAOKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

	JIVKA RABOVIANSKI	2426					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 1 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION I	THE REPLY FILED 1 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u> The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be f	iled within two month	e of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS -							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because     (a)    They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);     (b)    They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or  (d)							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Cor	mpliant Amondment (	DTOL 324)				
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ul>		ripliant Amendment (	FTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>	7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 -8, 10 - 19</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•					
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
/ Joseph P. Hirl/							
/Joseph P. Hirl/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426 February 24, 2010							
February 24, 2010	ebruary 24, 2010						

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. Note: New limitations have been introduced to the independent claims that would require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11: does Not place the application in condition for allowance because of the rejection stated in the previous Office action mailed on 12/16/2009